

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 14<sup>th</sup> Jan. 2013

Document: prEN ISO 18104:2012

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
DE	general	general	ge	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) In principle the revision is very useful and absolutely worth supporting.</li> <li>ii) The appendices are very informative in particular the explanations and examples.</li> <li>iii) This is a domain specific IT standard that uses terms that are rather unfamiliar to experts from this domain without an informatics background (as stated in Scope).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) no change</li> <li>ii) no change</li> <li>iii) In order for this standard to become more widely known and used – in particular outside the world of medical and health informatics – is seems advisable to provide a document that explains this standard to non-IT experts.</li> </ul>	
DE	NC. 1.1	Nursing diagnosis (6.1)	ge	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) General comment: The distinction between <i>degree</i> as descriptor of diagnosis and <i>judgement</i> as class, which also contains graduation, can be misleading and raises some questions regarding a mix of subjective and objective components of a nursing diagnosis. Both elements constitute a nursing diagnosis, however, is seems advisable to separate them where possible. In the last years, great effort has been made to establish reliable and valid assessment instruments so that nursing diagnoses become open to scrutiny. At the same time the importance of clinical impressions, opinions and other subjective evaluations of the patients has been newly discovered. A revised model of the nursing diagnoses should take this into account.</li> <li>ii) How does the model deal with pre co-ordinated diagnoses, e.g. pressure ulcer grade IV? Is grade IV a judgement? An example would clarify this type of diagnoses.</li> <li>iii)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) We recommend to make a distinction between subjective and objective constituents of a diagnosis.</li> <li>ii) We recommend the inclusion of a pertinent example.</li> </ul>	
DE	NC. 1.2	judgement (6.2.5)	ge	See comment to NC. 1.1		
DE	NC. 1.3	dimension	ge		No changes proposed.	
DE	NC. 1.4	subject of information (6.2.8)	ge		No changes proposed.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 14<sup>th</sup> Jan. 2013

Document: prEN ISO 18104:2012

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
DE	NC. 1.5	site (6.2.7)	ge	i) A <i>clinical finding</i> can also have a <i>site</i> . ii) The example <i>altered structure</i> to denote a wound is misleading because a wound is a clinical finding and thus a diagnosis.	i) Inclusion of relation hasSite to clinical finding. ii) Change example.	
DE	NC. 1.6	potentiality (6.2.6 and 6.3.6)	ge		No changes proposed.	
DE	NC. 1.7	acuity (6.3.1)	ge		No changes proposed.	
DE	NC. 1.8	time (6.2.9)	ge	There is an overlap in the meaning of <i>clinical course</i> and <i>timing</i> in terms of <i>onset</i> and <i>course</i> .		
DE	NC. 2.1	Nursing action (7.1)	ge		No changes proposed.	
DE	NC. 2.2	action (7.2.1)	ge		No changes proposed.	
DE	NC. 2.3	target (7.2.5)	ge		No changes proposed.	
DE	NC. 2.4	means (7.2.2)	ge	<i>Means</i> includes both <i>entity</i> and <i>technique</i> . It thus embraces two distinct objects that should become better visible in the model. Furthermore the role of supplies (called <i>devices</i> in this standard), i.e. medical devices and medical-surgical supplies, should be made more explicit by including a pertinent example. The use of supplies including high-tech products plays an important role in nursing, e.g. in wound care. Nurses are renowned for their expertise in supplies. Also technique deserves greater visibility as evidence based methods are gaining increasing importance in nursing.	The inclusion of pertinent examples seems advisable.	
DE	NC. 2.5	recipient of care	ge		No changes proposed.	
DE	NC. 2.6	subject of record	ge	The term <i>subject of record</i> is misleading. It makes one argue that <i>subject of record</i> must be also be associated with <i>nursing diagnoses</i> .	This problem could be solved replacing the term <i>record</i> by another term.	
DE	NC. 3.1	title	ge	The title purports that this standard is only useful for terminology systems. However, the authors explicitly state that the standard can be also used for many applications in medical and health informatics.	A more general title seems advisable – also with regard to fostering the adoption and wide application of the standard.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 14<sup>th</sup> Jan. 2013

Document: prEN ISO 18104:2012

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
<b>MB<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex</b> (e.g. 3.1)	<b>Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note</b> (e.g. Table 1)	<b>Type of com- ment<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Comment (justification for change) by the MB</b>	<b>Proposed change by the MB</b>	<b>Secretariat observations</b> on each comment submitted
DE	NC. 3.2	beneficiary, recipient of care, target	ge		No changes proposed.	
DE	NC. 3.3	additional attributes and qualifiers	ge		No changes proposed.	
DE	NC. 3.4	nesting of diagnoses and actions	ge		No changes proposed.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.